



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/686,914	10/12/2000	Daisuke Sato	107258	5369	
25944 75	90 02/28/2006		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			NGUYEN, HAI V		
P.O. BOX 1992			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			2142		

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/686,914	SATO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Hai V. Nguyen	2142

	LAdimiei	Alt Ollit	
	Hai V. Nguyen	2142	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing da	te of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		100/-1	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 6-12.		ll be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Other:			
	T9	tong vu R.E.	
		im	

13) 100 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In the remark received on 13 February, Applicant argued in substance that:

Point (1), the prior art does not disclose that, "a data transfer control device for transferring data among a plurality of nodes are connected to a bus, the data transfer control device comprising:

a control circuit which starts transfer processing when processing unit issues a start command for data transfer, and resumes transfer processing when the processing unit issues a resume command for data transfer;

a transfer execution circuit which executes processing for dividing transfer data into a series of packets then transferring the divided series of packets continuously, when the processing unit issues the start command for data transfer;

a cancellation circuit which cancels an execution of one of start command and the resume command, when the processing unit issues one of the start command and the resume command, respectively, during a period of a reset that clears node topology information; and a circuit which informs the processing unit that command execution has been canceled by the reset.

As to point (1), Wood discloses that, "a data transfer control device (Fig. 1, remote control 22) for transferring data among a plurality of nodes are connected to a bus, the data transfer control device comprising:

a control circuit (Fig. 2, a circuitry 100, components of MPEG decoder subsystem) which starts transfer processing (data recording) when processing unit issues a start command for data transfer (Wood, the components of the MPEG encoder subsystem respond to external commands to stop and start recording, change the recording bit rate, change the encoding resolution, save the current stream position as a bookmark, paragraph [0074]), and resumes transfer processing when the processing unit issues a resume command for data transfer (Wood, the decoder streamer 342 then starts seeking backwards in the video stream until it identifies the beginning of the previous I frame. When the previous I frame is found, the decoder streamer 342 streams forward again, as in play mode, to show at least one complete I frame to the viewer, until it finds the next non-I frame, at which point it starts searching backwards again, past the last found I frame, to the next prior I frame, paragraphs [0032], [0059], [0070]-[0079]).

a transfer execution circuit which executes processing for dividing transfer data into a series of packets then transferring the divided series of packets continuously, when the processing unit issues the start command for data transfer (the OMFS is configured to divide the received digital video information into one or more packets, each packet having the same number of bytes as a sector on a disk in the disk drive, page 1, paragraph [0005]; the digital VCR 10 continuously spools the current show's video and audio streams to a rewind buffer stored on the hard disk drive 142, page 12, paragraphs [0098], [0118]).

a cancellation circuit (the digital VCR 10) which cancels an execution of one of start command and the resume command, when the processing unit issues one of the start command and the resume command, respectively, during a period of a reset that clears node topology information (the personal channel) (Wood, Fig. 4, the digital VCR 10 can determine (by comparing channel guide information for a show that is scheduled to be recorded onto a personal channel with channel guide information for shows that are already recorded on a personal channel) that a show that is scheduled to be recorded onto a personal channel is already stored on the personal channel and/or has already been viewed by the user. In such a case the digital VCR 10 can cancel recording of the show, paragraphs [0022]-[0032], [0097], [0111]) and

a circuit (interrupt handlers 336, 338) which informs the processing unit that command execution has been canceled by the reset (Wood, The two device drivers are responsible for initializing the hardware, enabling direct memory access (DMA) transfers from the hardware into RAM buffers, handling errors, and handling interrupts, paragraph [0074], The MPEG encoder driver 336 (1) performs hardware initialization, such as setting up the encoder 114 to handle the input data resolution and timing, and setting encoding parameters such as bit rate, (2) performs interrupt handling, paragraph [0075]; The decoder driver 338 is responsible for initializing the hardware, enabling DMA transfers to the hardware from RAM buffers, handling errors, and handling interrupts. [0077]).

Point (B), Applicant argued that Wood is silent regading the existence of node topology, a processintg unit that issues start and stop command, or a cancellation circuit which cancels such commands.

As to point (B), the node topology is merely the configuration or layout of a network formed by the connections between devices on network (see MS Compter Dictionary, 4th edition) which is shown in figure 1 of Wood, and also

Wood discloses that "the digital VCR 10 can begin recording a show at a selected time (e.g., three minutes) before the show is scheduled to be broadcast and stop recording the show at a selected time after the show is scheduled to finish broadcasting" paragraph ([0096]) or "the digital VCR 10 can cancel recording of the show" paragraph ([0097]).

Point (C), Applicant argued that Wood does not disclose the limitation of "a circuit which informs a processing unit that command execution has been canceled by the reset" in claim 1.

As to point (C),, Applicant's abstract discloses that, "the fact that the command has been cancelled is informed to the CPU by an interrupt". Therefore, the claimed limitation of "a circuit which informs a processing unit that command execution has been canceled by the reset" in nothing more the interrupt handling which is performed by the MPEG encoder driver 336 and the decoder driver 338 in paragraphs [0074]-[0077] of Wood.

M